

ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/681,963 06/29/2001 Patrick McGill 41PR-7875 2593 EXAMINER 23465 03/19/2004 7590 JOHN S. BEULICK KIM, PAUL D C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE **SUITE 2600** 3729

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/681,963	MCGILL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Paul D Kim	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		in the final rejection subjector in leter. In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>33-35</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 32</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		1////
		11116/
		A. DEXTER TUGBANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the 112 1st rejection on Page 14 mailed on 11/14/2003 was improper and be withdrawn. Examiner traverse the argument that the limitations "the spacer configured to move along a length of the biasing member when the biasing member is stationary" recited in line 7-8 of claim 1 and line 5-6 of claim 32 do not described in the specification and appear to be a new matter. According to the Figs. 3 and 4 with pages 3-6, as applicant also noted, the biasing member is compressed by the moving contact and the first space is then matched with the first spring having a smaller compressed biasing member. In other word, the biasing member is compressed or moved by the contact into the spacer There is no such a description that the spacer is configured to move along a length of the biasing member when the biasing member is stationary..